# The Typed Racket Guide

Version 6.0

Sam Tobin-Hochstadt <samth@racket-lang.org>, Vincent St-Amour <stamourv@racket-lang.org>, Eric Dobson <endobson@racket-lang.org>, and Asumu Takikawa <asumu@racket-lang.org>

February 18, 2014

Typed Racket is a family of languages, each of which enforce that programs written in the language obey a type system that ensures the absence of many common errors. This guide is intended for programmers familiar with Racket. For an introduction to Racket, see the *The Racket Guide*.

## 1 Quick Start

Given a module written in the racket language, using Typed Racket requires the following steps:

- 1. Change the language to typed/racket.
- 2. Change the uses of (require mod) to (require typed/mod).
- 3. Annotate structure definitions and top-level definitions with their types.

Then, when the program is run, it will automatically be typechecked before any execution, and any type errors will be reported. If there are any type errors, the program will not run.

Here is an example program, written in the racket language:

Here is the same program, in typed/racket:

### 1.1 Using Typed Racket from the Racket REPL

It is possible to use Typed Racket from the Racket REPL. To do so, start Racket with the following command line:

```
racket -I typed/racket
```

## 2 Beginning Typed Racket

Recall the typed module from §1 "Quick Start":

Let us consider each element of this program in turn.

```
#lang typed/racket
```

This specifies that the module is written in the typed/racket language, which is a typed version of the racket language. Typed versions of other languages are provided as well; for example, the typed/racket/base language corresponds to racket/base.

```
(struct: pt ([x : Real] [y : Real]))
```

This defines a new structure, named pt, with two fields, x and y. Both fields are specified to have the type Real, which corresponds to the real numbers. The struct: form corresponds to the struct form from racket—when porting a program from racket to typed/racket, uses of struct should be changed to struct:.

Many forms in Typed Racket have the same name as the untyped forms, with a: suffix.

```
(: distance (pt pt -> Real))
```

This declares that distance has the type (pt pt -> Real).

The type (pt pt -> Real) is a function type, that is, the type of a procedure. The input type, or domain, is two arguments of type pt, which refers to an instance of the pt structure. The -> both indicates that this is a function type and separates the domain from the range, or output type, in this case Real.

This definition is unchanged from the untyped version of the code. The goal of Typed Racket is to allow almost all definitions to be typechecked without change. The typechecker verifies that the body of the function has the type Real, under the assumption that p1 and p2 have type pt, taking these types from the earlier type declaration. Since the body does have this type, the program is accepted.

## 2.1 Datatypes and Unions

Many data structures involve multiple variants. In Typed Racket, we represent these using *union types*, written (U t1 t2 ...).

In this module, we have defined two new datatypes: leaf and node. We've also defined the type name Tree to be (U node leaf), which represents a binary tree of numbers. In essence, we are saying that the tree-height function accepts a Tree, which is either a node or a leaf, and produces a number.

In order to calculate interesting facts about trees, we have to take them apart and get at their contents. But since accessors such as node-left require a node as input, not a Tree, we have to determine which kind of input we were passed.

For this purpose, we use the predicates that come with each defined structure. For example, the leaf? predicate distinguishes leafs from all other Typed Racket values. Therefore, in the first branch of the cond clause in tree-sum, we know that t is a leaf, and therefore we can get its value with the leaf-val function.

In the else clauses of both functions, we know that t is not a leaf, and since the type of t was Tree by process of elimination we can determine that t must be a node. Therefore, we can use accessors such as node-left and node-right with t as input.

The process by which Typed Racket type-checks the bodies of the cond clauses, using information from the predicate checks, is called occurrence typing and is described in detail in §5 "Occurrence Typing".

## 2.2 Type Errors

When Typed Racket detects a type error in the module, it raises an error before running the program.

## Example:

```
> (add1 "not a number")
eval:2:0: Type Checker: Expected Number, but got String
in: "not a number"
```

## 3 Specifying Types

The previous section introduced the basics of the Typed Racket type system. In this section, we will see several new features of the language, allowing types to be specified and used.

## 3.1 Type Annotation and Binding Forms

In general, variables in Typed Racket must be annotated with their type.

#### 3.1.1 Annotating Definitions

We have already seen the: type annotation form. This is useful for definitions, at both the top level of a module

```
(: x Number)
(define x 7)
```

and in an internal definition

```
(let ()
  (: x Number)
  (define x 7)
  (add1 x))
```

In addition to the: form, almost all binding forms from racket have counterparts which allow the specification of types. The define: form allows the definition of variables in both top-level and internal contexts.

```
(define: x : Number 7)
(define: (id [z : Number]) : Number z)
```

Here, x has the type Number, and id has the type (Number -> Number). In the body of id, z has the type Number.

#### 3.1.2 Annotating Local Binding

```
(let: ([x : Number 7])
  (add1 x))
```

The let: form is exactly like let, but type annotations are provided for each variable bound. Here, x is given the type Number. The let\*: and letrec: are similar. Annotations are optional with let: and variants.

```
(let-values: ([([x : Number] [y : String]) (values 7 "hello")])
  (+ x (string-length y)))
```

The let\*-values: and letrec-values: forms are similar.

#### 3.1.3 Annotating Functions

Function expressions also bind variables, which can be annotated with types. This function expects two arguments, a Number and a String:

```
(lambda: ([x : Number] [y : String]) (+ x 5))
```

This function accepts at least one String, followed by arbitrarily many Numbers. In the body, y is a list of Numbers.

```
(lambda: ([x : String] . [y : Number *]) (apply + y))
```

This function has the type (String Number \* -> Number). Functions defined by cases may also be annotated:

This function has the type (case-lambda (-> Number) (Number -> Number)).

#### 3.1.4 Annotating Single Variables

When a single variable binding needs annotation, the annotation can be applied to a single variable using a reader extension:

```
(let ([\#\{x : Number\} 7]) (add1 x))
```

This is equivalent to the earlier use of let:. This is especially useful for binding forms which do not have counterparts provided by Typed Racket, such as let+:

```
(let+ ([val #{x : Number} (+ 6 1)])
(* x x))
```

#### 3.1.5 Annotating Expressions

It is also possible to provide an expected type for a particular expression.

```
(ann (+ 7 1) Number)
```

This ensures that the expression, here (+ 7 1), has the desired type, here Number. Otherwise, the type checker signals an error. For example:

```
> (ann "not a number" Number)
eval:2:0: Type Checker: Expected Number, but got String
in: Number
```

#### 3.2 Type Inference

In many cases, type annotations can be avoided where Typed Racket can infer them. For example, the types of all local bindings using let and let\* can be inferred.

```
(let ([x 7]) (add1 x))
```

In this example, x has the type Exact-Positive-Integer.

Similarly, top-level constant definitions do not require annotation:

```
(define y "foo")
```

In this examples, y has the type String.

Finally, the parameter types for loops are inferred from their initial values.

```
(let loop ([x 0] [y (list 1 2 3)])
(if (null? y) x (loop (+ x (car y)) (cdr y))))
```

Here x has the inferred type Integer, and y has the inferred type (Listof Integer). The loop variable has type (Integer (Listof Integer) -> Integer).

#### 3.3 New Type Names

Any type can be given a name with define-type.

```
(define-type NN (Number -> Number))
```

Anywhere the name NN is used, it is expanded to (Number -> Number). Type names may not be recursive.

## 4 Types in Typed Racket

Typed Racket provides a rich variety of types to describe data. This section introduces them.

## 4.1 Basic Types

The most basic types in Typed Racket are those for primitive data, such as True and False for booleans, String for strings, and Char for characters.

```
> '"hello, world"
- : String
"hello, world"
> #\f
- : Char
#\f
> #t
- : Boolean [more precisely: True]
#t
> #f
- : False
#f
```

Each symbol is given a unique type containing only that symbol. The Symbol type includes all symbols.

```
> 'foo
- : Symbol [more precisely: 'foo]
'foo
> 'bar
- : Symbol [more precisely: 'bar]
'bar
```

Typed Racket also provides a rich hierarchy for describing particular kinds of numbers.

```
> 0
- : Integer [more precisely: Zero]
0
> -7
- : Integer [more precisely: Negative-Fixnum]
-7
> 14
- : Integer [more precisely: Positive-Byte]
14
```

```
> 3.2
- : Flonum [more precisely: Positive-Flonum]
3.2
> 7.0+2.8i
- : Float-Complex
7.0+2.8i
```

Finally, any value is itself a type:

```
> (ann 23 : 23)
- : Integer [more precisely: 23]
23
```

## 4.2 Function Types

We have already seen some examples of function types. Function types are constructed using ->, with the argument types before the arrow and the result type after. Here are some example function types:

```
(Number -> Number)
(String String -> Boolean)
(Char -> (values String Natural))
```

The first type requires a Number as input, and produces a Number. The second requires two arguments. The third takes one argument, and produces multiple values, of types String and Natural. Here are example functions for each of these types.

```
> (lambda: ([x : Number]) x)
- : (Number -> Number)
#<procedure>
> (lambda: ([a : String] [b : String]) (equal? a b))
- : (String String -> Boolean)
#<procedure>
> (lambda: ([c : Char]) (values (string c) (char->integer c)))
- : (Char -> (values String Index))
#<procedure>
```

## 4.3 Union Types

Sometimes a value can be one of several types. To specify this, we can use a union type, written with the type constructor U.

Any number of types can be combined together in a union, and nested unions are flattened.

```
(U Number String Boolean Char)
```

## 4.4 Recursive Types

*Recursive types* can refer to themselves. This allows a type to describe an infinite family of data. For example, this is the type of binary trees of numbers.

```
(define-type BinaryTree (Rec BT (U Number (Pair BT BT))))
```

The Rec type constructor specifies that the type BT refers to the whole binary tree type within the body of the Rec form.

#### 4.5 Structure Types

Using struct: introduces new types, distinct from any previous type.

```
(struct: point ([x : Real] [y : Real]))
```

Instances of this structure, such as (point 7 12), have type point.

### 4.6 Subtyping

In Typed Racket, all types are placed in a hierarchy, based on what values are included in the type. When an element of a larger type is expected, an element of a smaller type may be provided. The smaller type is called a *subtype* of the larger type. The larger type is called a *supertype*. For example, Integer is a subtype of Real, since every integer is a real number. Therefore, the following code is acceptable to the type checker:

```
(: f (Real -> Real))
(define (f x) (* x 0.75))
```

```
(: x Integer)
(define x -125)
(f x)
```

All types are subtypes of the Any type.

The elements of a union type are individually subtypes of the whole union, so String is a subtype of (U String Number). One function type is a subtype of another if they have the same number of arguments, the subtype's arguments are more permissive (is a supertype), and the subtype's result type is less permissive (is a subtype). For example, (Any -> String) is a subtype of (Number -> (U String #f)).

## 4.7 Polymorphism

Typed Racket offers abstraction over types as well as values. This allows the definition of functions that use *parametric polymorphism*.

#### 4.7.1 Polymorphic Data Structures

Virtually every Racket program uses lists and other collections. Fortunately, Typed Racket can handle these as well. A simple list processing program can be written like this:

```
#lang typed/racket
(: sum-list ((Listof Number) -> Number))
(define (sum-list 1)
  (cond [(null? 1) 0]
        [else (+ (car 1) (sum-list (cdr 1)))]))
```

This looks similar to our earlier programs — except for the type of 1, which looks like a function application. In fact, it's a use of the *type constructor* Listof, which takes another type as its input, here Number. We can use Listof to construct the type of any kind of list we might want.

We can define our own type constructors as well. For example, here is an analog of the Maybe type constructor from Haskell:

```
#lang typed/racket
(struct: None ())
(struct: (a) Some ([v : a]))
(define-type (Opt a) (U None (Some a)))
```

```
(: find (Number (Listof Number) -> (Opt Number)))
(define (find v 1)
  (cond [(null? 1) (None)]
       [(= v (car 1)) (Some v)]
       [else (find v (cdr 1))]))
```

The first struct: defines None to be a structure with no contents.

The second definition

```
(struct: (a) Some ([v : a]))
```

creates a parameterized type, Some, which is a structure with one element, whose type is that of the type argument to Some. Here the type parameters (only one, a, in this case) are written before the type name, and can be referred to in the types of the fields.

The type definiton

```
(define-type (Opt a) (U None (Some a)))
```

creates a parameterized type — Opt is a potential container for whatever type is supplied.

The find function takes a number v and list, and produces (Some v) when the number is found in the list, and (None) otherwise. Therefore, it produces a (Opt Number), just as the annotation specified.

#### 4.7.2 Polymorphic Functions

Sometimes functions over polymorphic data structures only concern themselves with the form of the structure. For example, one might write a function that takes the length of a list of numbers:

and also a function that takes the length of a list of strings:

Notice that both of these functions have almost exactly the same definition; the only difference is the name of the function. This is because neither function uses the type of the elements in the definition.

We can abstract over the type of the element as follows:

The new type constructor All takes a list of type variables and a body type. The type variables are allowed to appear free in the body of the All form.

#### 4.7.3 Lexically Scoped Type Variables

When the: type annotation form includes type variables for parametric polymorphism, the type variables are *lexically scoped*. In other words, the type variables are bound in the body of the definition that you annotate.

For example, the following definition of my-id uses the type variable a to annotate the argument x:

```
(: my-id (All (a) (a -> a)))
(define my-id (lambda: ([x : a]) x))
```

Lexical scope also implies that type variables can be shadowed, such as in the following example:

The reference to a inside the inner lambda: refers to the type variable in helper's annotation. That a is *not* the same as the a in the annotation of the outer lambda: expression.

### 4.8 Variable-Arity Functions: Programming with Rest Arguments

Typed Racket can handle some uses of rest arguments.

#### 4.8.1 Uniform Variable-Arity Functions

In Racket, one can write a function that takes an arbitrary number of arguments as follows:

The arguments to the function that are in excess to the non-rest arguments are converted to a list which is assigned to the rest parameter. So the examples above evaluate to 0, 10, and 4.

We can define such functions in Typed Racket as well:

This type can be assigned to the function when each element of the rest parameter is used at the same type.

#### 4.8.2 Non-Uniform Variable-Arity Functions

However, the rest argument may be used as a heterogeneous list. Take this (simplified) definition of the R6RS function fold-left:

Here the different lists that make up the rest argument bss can be of different types, but the type of each list in bss corresponds to the type of the corresponding argument of f. We also know that, in order to avoid arity errors, the length of bss must be two less than the arity of f. The first argument to f is the accumulator, and as corresponds to the second argument of f.

The example uses of fold-left evaluate to 36, 42, and "A cat does not eat cheese.".

In Typed Racket, we can define fold-left as follows:

Note that the type variable B is followed by an ellipsis. This denotes that B is a dotted type variable which corresponds to a list of types, much as a rest argument corresponds to a list of values. When the type of fold-left is instantiated at a list of types, then each type t which is bound by B (notated by the dotted pre-type t . . . B) is expanded to a number of copies of t equal to the length of the sequence assigned to B. Then B in each copy is replaced with the corresponding type from the sequence.

```
So the type of (inst fold-left Integer Boolean String Number) is

((Integer Boolean String Number -> Integer) Integer (Listof Boolean)
(Listof String) (Listof Number) -> Integer).
```

## **5** Occurrence Typing

#### **5.1** Basic Occurrence Typing

One of Typed Racket's distinguishing type system features is *occurrence typing*, which allows the type system to ascribe more precise types based on whether a predicate check succeeds or fails.

To illustrate, consider the following code:

```
(: flexible-length ((U String (Listof Any)) -> Integer))
(define (flexible-length str-or-lst)
   (if (string? str-or-lst)
        (string-length str-or-lst)
        (length str-or-lst)))
```

The *flexible-length* function above computes the length of either a string or a list. The function body uses the typical Racket idiom of dispatching using a predicate (e.g., string?).

Typed Racket successfully type-checks this function because the type system understands that in the "then" branch of the if expression, the predicate string? must have returned a true value. The type system further knows that if string? returns true, then the stror-lst variable must have type String and can narrow the type from its original union of String and (Listof Any). This allows the call to string-length in the "then" branch to type-check successfully.

Furthermore, the type system also knows that in the "else" branch of the if expression, the predicate must have returned #f. This implies that the variable str-or-lst must have type (Listof Any) by process of elimination, and thus the call (length str-or-lst) type-checks.

To summarize, if Typed Racket can determine the type a variable must have based on a predicate check in a conditional expression, it can narrow the type of the variable within the appropriate branch of the conditional.

#### 5.2 Filters and Predicates

In the previous section, we demonstrated that a Typed Racket programmer can take advantage of occurrence typing to type-check functions with union types and conditionals. This may raise the question: how does Typed Racket know how to narrow the type based on the predicate?

The answer is that predicate types in Typed Racket are annotated with *filters* that tell the typechecker what additional information is gained when a predicate check succeeds or fails.

For example, consider the REPL's type printout for string?:

```
> string?
- : (Any -> Boolean : String)
###cedure:string?>
```

The type (Any -> Boolean: String) has three parts. The first two are the same as any other function type and indicate that the predicate takes any value and returns a boolean. The third part, after the:, is a filter that tells the typechecker two things:

- 1. If the predicate check succeeds, the argument variable has type String
- 2. If the predicate check fails, the argument variable does not have type String

Predicates for all built-in types are annotated with similar filters that allow the type system to reason about predicate checks.

#### 5.3 Other conditionals and assertions

So far, we have seen that occurrence typing allows precise reasoning about if expressions. Occurrence typing works for most control flow constructs that are present in Racket such as cond, when, and others.

After all, these control flow constructs macro-expand to if in the end.

For example, the flexible-length function from earlier can be re-written to use cond with no additional effort:

In some cases, the type system does not have enough information or is too conservative to typecheck an expression. For example, consider the following interaction:

```
> (: a Positive-Integer)
> (define a 15)
> (: b Positive-Integer)
> (define b 20)
> (: c Positive-Integer)
```

```
> (define c (- b a))
eval:12:0: Type Checker: Expected Positive-Integer, but got
Integer
in: a
```

In this case, the type system only knows that a and b are positive integers and cannot conclude that their difference will always be positive in defining c. In cases like this, occurrence typing can be used to make the code type-check using an *assertion*. For example,

```
(: d Positive-Integer)
(define d (assert (- b a) positive?))
```

Using the filter on positive?, Typed Racket can assign the type Positive-Integer to the whole assert expression. This type-checks, but note that the assertion may raise an exception at run-time if the predicate returns #f.

Note that assert is a derived concept in Typed Racket and is a natural consequence of occurrence typing. The assertion above is essentially equivalent to the following:

#### 5.4 A caveat about set!

If a variable is ever mutated with set! in the scope in which it is defined, Typed Racket cannot use occurrence typing with that variable. This precaution is needed to ensure that concurrent modification of a variable does not invalidate Typed Racket's knowledge of the type of that variable. Also see §4.9.1 "Guidelines for Using Assignment".

Furthermore, this means that the types of top-level variables in the REPL cannot be refined by Typed Racket either. This is because the scope of a top-level variable includes future top-level interactions, which may include mutations. It is possible to work around this by moving the variable inside of a module or into a local binding form like let.

## 6 Typed-Untyped Interaction

In the previous sections, all of the examples have consisted of programs that are entirely typed. One of the key features of Typed Racket is that it allows the combination of both typed and untyped code in a single program.

## 6.1 Using Untyped Code from Typed Code

Suppose that we write the untyped module from §1 "Quick Start" again:

If we want to use the <code>distance</code> function defined in the above module from a typed module, we need to use the <code>require/typed</code> form to import it. Since the untyped module did not specify any types, we need to annotate the imports with types (just like how the example in §1 "Quick Start" had additional type annotations with:):

Note that a typed module *does not* need to use require/typed to import from another typed module. The require form will work in such cases.

The require/typed form has several kinds of clauses. The #:struct clause specifies the import of a structure type and allows us to use the structure type as if it were defined with struct:.

The second clause in the example above specifies that a given binding distance has the given type (pt pt -> Real).

Note that the require/typed form can import bindings from any module, including those that are part of the Racket standard library. For example,

```
#lang typed/racket
(require/typed racket/base [add1 (Integer -> Integer)])
```

is a valid use of the require/typed form and imports add1 from the racket/base library.

## **6.2** Using Typed Code in Untyped Code

In the previous subsection, we saw that the use of untyped code from typed code requires the use of require/typed. However, the use of code in the other direction (i.e., the use of typed code from untyped code) requires no additional work.

If an untyped module requires a typed module, it will be able to use the bindings defined in the typed module as expected. The major exception to this rule is that macros defined in typed modules may not be used in untyped modules.

## **6.3** Protecting Typed-Untyped Interaction

One might wonder if the interactions described in the first two subsections are actually safe; after all, untyped code might be able to ignore the errors that Typed Racket's type system will catch at compile-time.

To ensure that typed-untyped interactions are safe, Typed Racket establishes contracts wherever typed and untyped code interact. For example, suppose that we write an untyped module that implements an *increment* function:

For general information on Racket's contract system, see §7 "Contracts".

and a typed module that uses it:

```
> (module client typed/racket
          (require/typed 'increment [increment (Integer -> Integer)])
          (increment 5))
```

This combined program is not correct. All uses of <code>increment</code> in Typed Racket are correct under the assumption that the <code>increment</code> function upholds the (Integer -> Integer) type. Unfortunately, our <code>increment</code> implementation does not actually uphold this assumption, because the function actually produces strings.

On the other hand, when the program is run:

we find that the contract system checks the assumption made by the typed module and correctly finds that the assumption failed because of the implementation in the untyped module (hence it is *blamed* in the error message).

In the same fashion, Typed Racket checks all functions and other values that pass from a typed module to untyped module or vice versa with contracts. This means that, for example, Typed Racket can safely optimize programs (see §7 "Optimization in Typed Racket") with the assurance that the program will not segfault due to an unchecked assumption.

**Important caveat**: contracts such as the Integer check from above are performant. However, contracts in general can have a non-trivial performance impact, especially with the use of first-class functions or other higher-order data such as vectors.

Note that no contract overhead is ever incurred for uses of typed values from another typed module.

## 7 Optimization in Typed Racket

Typed Racket provides a type-driven optimizer that rewrites well-typed programs to potentially make them faster. It should in no way make your programs slower or unsafe.

For general information on Racket performance and benchmarking, see §19 "Performance".

## 7.1 Turning the optimizer off

Typed Racket's optimizer is turned on by default. If you want to deactivate it (for debugging, for instance), you must add the #:no-optimize keyword when specifying the language of your program:

```
#lang typed/racket #:no-optimize
```

#### 7.2 Getting the most out of the optimizer

Typed Racket's optimizer can improve the performance of various common Racket idioms. However, it does a better job on some idioms than on others. By writing your programs using the right idioms, you can help the optimizer help you.

#### 7.2.1 Numeric types

Being type-driven, the optimizer makes most of its decisions based on the types you assigned to your data. As such, you can improve the optimizer's usefulness by writing informative types.

For example, the following programs both typecheck:

```
(define: (f (x : Real)) : Real (+ x 2.5))
(f 3.5)

(define: (f (x : Float)) : Float (+ x 2.5))
(f 3.5)
```

However, the second one uses more informative types: the Float type includes only 64-bit floating-point numbers whereas the Real type includes both exact and inexact real numbers and the Inexact-Real type includes both 32- and 64-bit floating-point numbers. Typed Racket's optimizer can optimize the latter program to use float -specific operations whereas it cannot do anything with the former program.

Thus, to get the most of Typed Racket's optimizer, you should use the Float type when possible. For similar reasons, you should use floating-point literals instead of exact literals when doing floating-point computations.

When mixing floating-point numbers and exact reals in arithmetic operations, the result is not necessarily a Float. For instance, the result of (\* 2.0 0) is 0 which is not a Float. This can result in missed optimizations. To prevent this, when mixing floating-point numbers and exact reals, coerce exact reals to floating-point numbers using exact->inexact. This is not necessary when using + or -. When mixing floating-point numbers of different precisions, results use the highest precision possible.

On a similar note, the Float-Complex type is preferable to the Complex type for the same reason. Typed Racket can keep float complex numbers unboxed; as such, programs using complex numbers can have better performance than equivalent programs that represent complex numbers as two real numbers. As with floating-point literals, float complex literals (such as 1.0+1.0i) should be preferred over exact complex literals (such as 1+1i). Note that both parts of a literal must be present and inexact for the literal to be of type Float-Complex; 0.0+1.0i is of type Float-Complex but 0+1.0i is not. To get the most of Typed Racket's optimizer, you should also favor rectangular coordinates over polar coordinates.

#### 7.2.2 Lists

Typed Racket handles potentially empty lists and lists that are known to be non-empty differently: when taking the car or the cdr of a list Typed Racket knows is non-empty, it can skip the check for the empty list that is usually done when calling car and cdr.

In this example, Typed Racket knows that if we reach the else branch, 1 is not empty. The checks associated with car and cdr would be redundant and are eliminated.

In addition to explicitly checking for the empty list using null?, you can inform Typed Racket that a list is non-empty by using the known-length list type constructor; if your data is stored in lists of fixed length, you can use the List type constructors.

For instance, the type of a list of two Integers can be written either as:

```
(define-type List-2-Ints (Listof Integer))
```

or as the more precise:

```
(define-type List-2-Ints (List Integer Integer))
```

Using the second definition, all car and cdr-related checks can be eliminated in this function:

```
(define: (sum2 (1 : List-2-Ints) : Integer)
  (+ (car 1) (car (cdr 1))))
```

#### **7.2.3 Vectors**

In addition to known-length lists, Typed Racket supports known-length vectors through the Vector type constructor. Known-length vector access using constant indices can be optimized in a similar fashion as car and cdr.

```
; #(name r g b)
(define-type Color (Vector String Integer Integer Integer))
(define: x : Color (vector "red" 255 0 0))
(vector-ref x 0) ; good
(define color-name 0)
(vector-ref x color-name) ; good
(vector-ref x (* 0 10)) ; bad
```

In many such cases, however, structs are preferable to vectors. Typed Racket can optimize struct access in all cases.

#### 7.2.4 Optimization Coaching

The Optimization Coach package provides optimization coaching support to help you get the most of the Typed Racket optimizer.

Similar information (albeit without in-depth explanations or advice) is available from the command line. When compiling a Typed Racket program, setting the racket logging facilities to the 'debug level and listening to the 'TR-optimizer logger causes Typed Racket to display performance debugging information. Setting the Racket logging level can be done on the command line with the -W flag:

```
racket -W debug@TR-optimizer my-typed-program.rkt
```

## 8 Caveats and Limitations

This section describes limitations and subtle aspects of the type system that programmers often stumble on while porting programs to Typed Racket.

### 8.1 The Integer type and integer?

In Typed Racket, the Integer type corresponds to values that return #t for the exact-integer? predicate, *not* the integer? predicate. In particular, values that return #t for integer? may be inexact numbers (e.g, 1.0).

When porting a program to Typed Racket, you may need to replace uses of functions like round and floor with corresponding exact functions like exact-round and exact-floor.

In other cases, it may be necessary to use assertions or casts.

### 8.2 Type inference for polymorphic functions

Typed Racket's local type inference algorithm is currently not able to infer types for polymorphic functions that are used on higher-order arguments that are themselves polymorphic.

For example, the following program results in a type error that demonstrates this limitation:

```
> (map cons '(a b c d) '(1 2 3 4))

eval:2:0: Type Checker: Polymorphic function 'map' could not
be applied to arguments:

Types: (a b ... b -> c) (Listof a) (Listof b) ... b ->

(Listof c)

(a -> c) (Pairof a (Listof a)) -> (Pairof c (Listof
c))

Arguments: (All (a b) (case-> (a (Listof a) -> (Listof a))

(a b -> (Pairof a b)))) (List 'a 'b 'c 'd) (List One
Positive-Byte Positive-Byte Positive-Byte)

Expected result: AnyValues

in: 4
```

The issue is that the type of cons is also polymorphic:

```
> cons
- : (All (a b) (case-> (a (Listof a) -> (Listof a)) (a b ->
(Pairof a b))))
#procedure:cons>
```

To make this expression type-check, the inst form can be used to instantiate the polymorphic argument (e.g., cons) at a specific type:

```
> (map (inst cons Symbol Integer) '(a b c d) '(1 2 3 4))
- : (Listof (Pairof Symbol Integer))
'((a . 1) (b . 2) (c . 3) (d . 4))
```

#### 8.3 Typed-untyped interaction and contract generation

When a typed module requires bindings from an untyped module (or vice-versa), there are some types that cannot be converted to a corresponding contract.

This could happen because a type is not yet supported in the contract system, because Typed Racket's contract generator has not been updated, or because the contract is too difficult to generate. In some of these cases, the limitation will be fixed in a future release.

The following illustrates an example type that cannot be converted to a contract:

This function type by cases is a valid type, but a corresponding contract is difficult to generate because the check on the result depends on the check on the domain. In the future, this may be supported with dependent contracts.

A more approximate type will work for this case, but with a loss of type precision at use sites:

#### 8.4 Classes and units

Classes and units are not currently supported in Typed Racket. Support for classes is under development and will be in a future release.

## 8.5 Type generalization

Not so much a caveat as a feature that may have unexpected consequences. To make programming with invariant type constructors (such as Boxof) easier, Typed Racket generalizes types that are used as arguments to invariant type constructors. For example:

```
> 0
- : Integer [more precisely: Zero]
0
> (define b (box 0))
> b
- : (Boxof Integer)
'#&0
```

0 has type Zero, which means that b "should" have type (Boxof Zero). On the other hand, that type is not especially useful, as it only allows 0 to be stored in the box. Most likely, the intent was to have a box of a more general type (such as Integer) and initialize it with 0. Type generalization does exactly that.

In some cases, however, type generalization can lead to unexpected results:

```
> (box (ann 1 Fixnum))
- : (Boxof Integer)
'#&1
```

The intent of this code may be to create of box of Fixnum, but Typed Racket will generalize it anyway. To create a box of Fixnum, the box itself should have a type annotation:

```
> (ann (box 1) (Boxof Fixnum))
- : (Boxof Fixnum)
'#&1
> ((inst box Fixnum) 1)
- : (Boxof Fixnum)
'#&1
```

## 8.6 Macros and compile-time computation

Typed Racket will type-check all expressions at the run-time phase of the given module and will prevent errors that would occur at run-time. However, expressions at compile-time—including computations that occur inside macros—are not checked.

Concretely, this means that expressions inside, for example, a begin-for-syntax block are not checked:

```
> (begin-for-syntax (+ 1 "foo"))
+: contract violation
    expected: number?
    given: "foo"
    argument position: 2nd
    other arguments...:
    I
```

Similarly, expressions inside of macros defined in Typed Racket are not type-checked. On the other hand, the macro's expansion is always type-checked:

```
(define-syntax (example-1 stx)
    (+ 1 "foo")
    #'1)
(define-syntax (example-2 stx)
    #'(+ 1 "foo"))
> (example-1)
+: contract violation
    expected: number?
    given: "foo"
    argument position: 2nd
    other arguments...:
        I
> (example-2)
eval:16:0: Type Checker: Expected Number, but got String
    in: (quote "foo")
```

Note that functions defined in Typed Racket that are used at compile-time in other typed modules or untyped modules will be type-checked and then protected with contracts as described in §6 "Typed-Untyped Interaction".

Additionally, macros that are defined in Typed Racket modules cannot be used in ordinary Racket modules because such uses can circumvent the protections of the type system.